

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
6

7 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

8 Plaintiff,

9 v.

10 PARISH MICHAEL SHERMAN,

11 Defendant.
12

No. 2:01-cr-00153-GEB

**ORDER SCHEDULING A RESPONSIVE
BRIEF TO A SENTENCE REDUCTION
MOTION**

13 Defendant Parish Michael Sherman filed a motion in pro
14 pria persona on December 9, 2011, in which he argues his prison
15 sentence should be reduced under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2). ECF No.
16 29 at 2. Sherman filed another sentence reduction motion under
17 the same statute on February 1, 2012, and an amended sentence
18 reduction motion under the same statute on February 9, 2012. ECF
19 Nos. 30 and 31. Sherman argues he is entitled to a sentence
20 reduction because of the retroactive reduction of the "sentencing
21 disparity between crack (base) and powder cocaine" under the
22 amended Sentencing Guidelines contained in USSG § 2D1.1. ECF No.
23 29 at 1, 2; ECF No. 30 at 2; ECF No. 31 at 4.


24 This case was reassigned to the undersigned judge on
25 January 8, 2016, ECF No. 34, and he just learned about the
26 pendency of the motion on March 14, 2019.

27 Since the Federal Defender has been served with the
28 motion and has not filed a document indicating that Sherman

1 should be appointed counsel on his motion, the United States
2 Attorney's Office shall file a response to the motion no later
3 than fourteen days after the date on which this order is filed.

4 The United States Attorney and the Federal Defender
5 shall be included in the service of this order.

6 Dated: March 14, 2019

7
8 
9 _____
10 GARIAND E. BURRELL, JR.
11 Senior United States District Judge
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28